INTER OF ATEC DICTRICT COLUMN

LINDSAY, Magistrate Judge:	
DefendantX	
METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,	
-against-	1) CV 10 10 (MINI) (MCL)
Plaintiff,	<b>ORDER</b> 19-CV-4848 (JMA) (ARL)
SHANTHI WEERASINGHE,	
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	

Before the Court is the application of the defendant, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, requesting that the Court so order its proposed Confidentiality Stipulation, F.R.E. 502(d), (e) Agreement and Protective Order. The plaintiff, Shanthi Weerasinghe, opposes the defendant's request and has highlighted the issues that she believes remain between the parties with respect to the proposed order. The Court has reviewed the parties' submissions and makes the following rulings:

- 1. Section 17(b) as amended by the defendant conforms with the Court's prior ruling and the parties' agreement. Accordingly, the defendant's proposed revision to Section 17(b) is acceptable to the Court and should be included in the document to be so ordered.
- 2. The defendant need not explain its underlying rationale for Section 17(b) or its status as a regulated entity.
- 3. Exhibit A should not be amended. If, in the future, any witness expresses a grounded fear of retaliation then plaintiff's counsel may make an appropriate application.
- 4. To the extent the plaintiff wishes to add "willful" to paragraph 4 of Exhibit A, that request is denied. Case law is clear that willfulness is not a prerequisite to a finding of contempt. *Denis v. Ctv. of Nassau*, No. CV 17-4732 ADS AKT, 2019 WL 7372957 (E.D.N.Y. Dec. 31,

2019).

5. Finally, submission to the jurisdiction of this Court is a necessary component of enforcement of this Court's order. Therefore, the application to strike this language is denied.

The parties are directed to submit a proposed order consistent with these rulings on or before August 5, 2020.

Dated: Central Islip, New York July 31, 2020 **SO ORDERED:** 

ARLENE R. LINDSAY
United States Magistrate Judge